

### **Remarks**

This communication is considered fully responsive to the Office Action. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-19, 24, and 25 were examined. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-19, and 24 stand rejected. Claim 25 is allowed. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 16, 18, and 25 are amended. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 12, and 20-24 are canceled. No new claims have been added. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

### **Claim Objections**

The Office Action objected to claims 8, 9, 10, 11, and 13 for minor typographical errors. Applicant believes the current amendments to claims 9, 10 and 13 address these objections. Claims 8 and 11 are canceled and therefore the objection to these claims is moot.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 112**

The Office Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Claim 1 is canceled, therefore the rejection is moot.

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 11, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 1 and 11 are canceled, therefore the rejection is moot. The amendment to claim 13 is believed to address the Section 112 rejection.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicant appreciates the examiner indicating allowable subject matter in claim 25. Claim 25 is amended to correct a minor typographical error.

Applicant amended claims 3, 4, and 6-10 to depend from allowed claim 25. Claim 13 is also amended to incorporate each of the method recitations of allowed claim 25 into a system claim. Accordingly, claims 3, 4, and 6-7, and 9-10, which depend from claim 25, and claims 14-19 which depend from claim 13, are also believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons as allowed claim 25.

The remaining claims are canceled and therefore the rejections of those claims are moot. It is noted, however, that Applicant makes no admission by canceling the remaining claims that the examiner's rejections are supported by the cited references. In addition, Applicant reserves the right to present these claims again in one or more continuation and/or continuation-in-part applications.

**Conclusion**

The Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Mark D. Trenner/

Dated: October 10, 2008

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Mark D. Trenner  
Reg. No. 43,961  
(720) 221-3708